

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
15 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
16

17 JOSE URISTA, on behalf of himself and  
18 all others similarly situated,  
19 **Plaintiff,**  
20 v.  
21 WELLS FARGO & COMPANY and  
22 WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,  
23 **Defendants.**

Case No.: 20-cv-01689-H-AHG

**ORDER GRANTING JOINT  
MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR  
DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND**


[Doc. No. 3.]

23 On September 23, 2020, Plaintiff Jose Urista (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants Wells  
24 Fargo and Co. and Wells Fargo Bank, North America, (collectively, “Defendants”) filed a  
25 joint motion to extend the time for Defendants to respond to the complaint. (Doc. No. 3.)  
26 Defendants’ initial response is due on October 1, 2020. (Id. ¶ 2.) The parties’ joint motion  
27 asks the Court to extend the time for Defendants to make their initial response to November  
28

1 2, 2020. (Id. ¶ 3.) The parties represent that this extension will allow Defendants to further  
2 investigate Plaintiff's claims and will not result in undue delay. (Id. ¶ 4.) For good cause  
3 shown, the Court grants the parties' joint motion. Defendants' initial response is now due  
4 on **November 2, 2020**.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 DATED: September 24, 2020

7   
8 MARILYN L. HUFF, District Judge  
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28